

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: 10/532,652

Applicant: Rudi KROPF et al Filed: April 25, 2005

TC/A.U. : 3751

Examiner:

Docket No.: 2923-697 Customer No.: 6449 Confirmation No.: 6294

### SUBMISSION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Submitted herewith is a copy of the translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report.

In the event that any fees are due with this paper, please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

ву

Robert B. Murray Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 22,980

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, p.c.

Suite 800, 1425 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202)783-6040

RBM/cb

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

anslation		OPERATION T	REATY	PCT/EP2003/0	
ans	NTERNATIONAL PRELI		MNATION REI	PORT	
	(PCT Art	icle 36 and Rule 7	70)		
Applicant's or agent's file re 31092P WC	EOD BUDTUR	R ACTION See N	lotification of Transaction Re	ansmittal of Internation	
International application No. PCT/EP2003/01		ng date (day/month/yed 2003 (22.10.2003		day/month/year) per 2002 (23.10.2002)	
International Patent Classific B67C 3/22	ation (IPC) or national classification	on and IPC		· ·	
Applicant	ADELHOLZENER ADELHOLZENER	ALPENQUELLE	N GMBH		
and is transmitted to  2. This REPORT consi  This report is	eliminary examination report has be the applicant according to Article sts of a total of5 sh also accompanied by ANNEXES, are the basis for this report and/or	36. eets, including this co	ver sheet.	drawings which have bee	
70.16 and Sec	tion 607 of the Administrative Inst	ructions under the PC sheets.	Т).		
	ndications relating to the following of the report	g items:	,		
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
41 <u> </u>	in documents cited				
	in defects in the international appli				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	•		
09 June 20	004 (09.06.2004)	19	January 2005 (1	9.01.2005)	
Name and mailing address of	the IPEA/EP	Authorized office	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.	Telephone No				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/011709

A. Dasis	of the report	
1. With	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	the international application as originally filed	
	the description:	
	pages 1-16	as originally filed
İ	pages	, as originally filed , filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
	the claims:	
	1.5	
İ	pages as amended (toget	, as originally filed
	nages	
İ		, filed with the demand
	, med with the letter of	25 October 2004 (25.10.2004)
	the drawings:	
	pages 1/3-3/3	, as originally filed
l	pages	, filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
ti	he sequence listing part of the description:	
	nages	
	pages	
	pages, filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand
3. With prelim	ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international prelimina or 55.3).  regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internationary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does no international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identicated	ry examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ national application, the international
5. The state of th	the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sheet online as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawing sheet containing such as if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the drawings if (some of) the amendments Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	ation under Article 14 are referred to ot contain amendments (Rule 70.16

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11709

NO

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-26	YES			
		Claims -		NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-26	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-26	VES			

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following document:

Claims

D1: EP-A-0 421 597 (GUINNESS SON & CO LTD A) 10 April 1991 (1991-04-10)

- 1. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 16
- 1.1. Document D1 is considered the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1): a method for dispensing a beverage into a beverage container, comprising the steps:
  - filling (36) the beverage container (34);
  - sealing (40) a container opening of the beverage container (34), a predetermined amount (26) of liquid oxygen (column 6, lines 29-33) being discharged into the beverage container (34/38) and said beverage container being sealed (40-42) immediately after the discharge of the liquid oxygen.

The subject matter of claim 1 thus differs from the known method in that the liquefaction of the oxygen in a heat exchanger that is charged with gaseous oxygen and a coolant does not occur until shortly before it is added to the beverage container.

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11709

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

1.2. The problem to be solved by the present invention can thus be seen as that of reducing the operating risks associated with liquid oxygen, in particular the risk of explosion and fire.

The solution to this problem as proposed in claim 1 of the present application involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons: Liquefying the oxygen immediately before it is added to the beverage container eliminates the need for intermediate storage in bottles or tanks.

- 1.3. The same argument applies accordingly to independent device claim 16. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 16 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)) and involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).
- 2. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-15 AND 17-26
- 2.1. Claims 2-15 and 17-26 are dependent upon claims 1 and 16, respectively, and thus likewise satisfy the PCT requirements with respect to novelty and inventive step.